



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,460	07/24/2006	Louis Guillo	120427-167896	2714
60172 7590 05/12/2009 SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. 1420 FIFTH, SUITE 3010 SEATTLE, WA 98101				
EXAMINER				
SQUIRES, BRETT S				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2431				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/12/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/587,460	Applicant(s) GUILLOU ET AL.
Examiner BRETT SQUIRES		Art Unit 2431	

All Participants:

(1) BRETT SQUIRES.

(2) FARJAM MAJD Reg. No. 64,032.

Date of Interview: 7 May 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: After Non-Final Rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 6:48pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejection of claims 1-17, 19-20, and 22 under 35 U.S.C. 101

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/B. S./

Examiner, Art Unit 2431

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed the applicant that independent claim 1 failed to tie the asymmetrical key cryptography method to a particular machine or apparatus and therefore independent claim 1 is not drawn to patentable subject matter as defined by 35 U.S.C. 101. The examiner suggested making an examiner's amendment to independent claim 1, so that the claim would be directed to patentable subject matter as defined by 35 U.S.C. 101. However, the applicant requested that a Non-Final Office action be mailed, instead of making an examiner's amendment to independent claim 1.